A fter my first year at Princeton University, I was accepted into the university's Princeton-in-France summer program that began in June 1990. In order to make some spending money for the trip, I looked for a job near my parents' house outside Philadelphia Attracted by an ad in the newspaper about jobs for the environment, applied and interviewed at a grassroots campaign office near the train station; they hired me on the spot.

I found the office atmosphere contagious—young people home from colleges around the country came to this office to help the campaign to tighten controls on sulfur dioxide emissions in the Clean Air Act. I mad friends quickly with these like-minded individuals, spending every evenin out with them celebrating our progress toward saving the world. The experience was so life-altering that I called the coordinator of Princeton-in France and lied, telling her I had contracted mono and could not participat in the program. I spent the rest of the summer field-managing a group of canvassers and going door-to-door throughout eastern Pennsylvania.

Without access to a bathroom and armed only with a clipboard and a petition, I spent my days knocking on people's doors asking them it they would contribute money to the campaign. I even organized a bicycl canvass in a previously uncanvassed part of rural Pennsylvania. The Clear Air Act was reauthorized the following November, and I knew that I had helped make it happen. Returning to school in the fall, I promptly dropped French and focused my coursework on environmental studies.

After graduation in 1993, I moved to Washington, D.C., to work in progressive politics during the first term of the Clinton administration

of Kon the registative process, i round a postuon at a sinan chiviro group where I would learn how to lobby. Other people, however, v so lucky. Another member of my class at Princeton, Stewart (a pseud

had also taken a job with the same national progressive group bewanted to get involved in politics. Out of a sense of duty, he laste longer than I did as a director in the Washington canvass office. six months, however, Stewart "got frustrated with the fact that suc measured by dollars brought in and not by people convinced," and out on the sixty-plus-hour weeks coordinating a fleet of so-called: diers for the campaign. He decided that politics wasn't for him a

back to school for a law degree. Although canvassing had taken the bounce out of his step, he told me it had not squelched all of h ism. After practicing law for six years, Stewart realized that he was become a teacher. When I caught up with him in the fall of 2005 just beginning his second year teaching fourth-graders in Massac In an e-mail message to me, he rejoiced: "And best of all, I'm finall the world' and genuinely contributing to making the world a bette Since 1993, as I moved out of my twenties and figured out wanted to do with my life, I was haunted by my experience trying

a difference by working in progressive politics. As a result, during year at Columbia University, I wrote a proposal to study how the

ence of canvassing affects young people. With funding from the Co Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (C I spent 2003 and 2004 studying a cohort of canvassers employed b the largest canvassing organizations in the country: the People's As canvassers, these young people went door to door or stood on si recruiting and renewing memberships for progressive national o tions, just as I had done. In the intervening ten years, however, this than fifteen groups. From an approved list of forty-one canvass offices the Project ran that summer, I randomly selected one canvass office in each of the six regions of the United States to study.

During the summer of 2003, along with two graduate research assistants, I spent a week in each of these cities: Boulder, Colorado; Portlando

champic, the recipies rioject ran campaigns around the country for mor

tants, I spent a week in each of these cities: Boulder, Colorado; Portland Oregon; San Diego, California; Ann Arbor, Michigan; Baltimore, Mary land; and Atlanta, Georgia. At each site I interviewed and surveyed ever canvasser in the office who was willing to participate and had complete the organization's requisite three-day training period. In all, I formall interviewed and surveyed 115 canvassers.<sup>3</sup> During the second half of 2004, I conducted follow-up telephone interviews with approximatel two-thirds of this sample of 2003 summer canvassers.<sup>4</sup> The purpose of these phone conversations was for them to reflect on their experience with the canvass and to talk about their political and civic involvements.

since our initial meeting in 2003. Thanks to additional funding provide

by Columbia University's Institute for Social and Economic Research and Policy (ISERP), I was also able to travel to Washington, D.C. to interview representatives of national progressive groups that had hired the People' Project to run their grassroots campaigns in 2003, as well as political consultants who had worked on the 2004 presidential campaign.

My conversations with these idealistic young people around the country made me realize how much their experiences play into the challenges facing progressive politics in America today. It also became clear to me that the outsourcing of grassroots activism had extended beyond

tics as well.

As the 2004 presidential election was heating up, an old friend invite me up to Boston to attend an event in honor of grassroots firms during the

me up to Boston to attend an event in honor of grassroots firms during th

issue-based politics on the left and now includes aspects of electoral poli

tactics of the campaign. To compare these tactics with those of the which had received significant attention in the media, I also spoken number of people who had worked on the campaign to reelect P. George W. Bush.

involved in the 2004 presidential election on the left about the gr

This book is the product of every meeting and conversation I he canvassers, representatives of national progressive groups, and operatives on the left and the right. It tells the story of grassroot tics in America, focusing on the significant differences between the Right and the Left reach out to their grassroots base and incorporate young people, for better and for worse.