

## Illustrations

### TABLES

Table 1.1.	Institutional dissensus developments of the Roosevelt Court	15
Table 2.1.	Variable descriptions	63
Table 2.2.	Descriptive statistics for independent variables	64
Table 3.1.	Bivariate predictors of unanimous and highly consensual decisions	99
Table 3.2.	Logit models of unanimous and highly consensual decisions	104
Table 3.3.	Predicted probabilities of unanimous and highly consensual decisions	105
Table 3.4.	Logit models of ideological and legal interaction: Unanimous decisions	110
Table 3.5.	Logit models of ideological and legal interaction: Unanimous or highly consensual decisions	111
Table 4.1.	Bivariate predictors of fully unanimous or highly consensual opinions	122
Table 4.2.	Logit models of unanimous and highly consensual opinions	127
Table 4.3.	Predicted probabilities of unanimous and highly consensual opinions	130
Table 4.4.	Summary of main model results from Chapters 3 and 4	133
Table 4.5.	Logit models of ideological and legal interaction: Unanimous opinions	137
Table 4.6.	Logit models of ideological and legal interaction: Unanimous or highly consensual opinions	138
Table 5.1.	Summary of measures of certworthiness	148
Table A.1.	Logit models of unanimous and highly consensual decisions, without Legal Certainty Index	170

## Illustrations

Table A.2. Partial proportional odds model of factors explaining level of voting consensus	171
Table A.3. Logit models of unanimous and highly consensual opinions, without the Legal Certainty Index	173
Table A.4. Partial proportional odds model of factors explaining level of opinion consensus	175

## FIGURES

Figure I.1. Reaching consensus: Decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court, 1953–2004	3
Figure 1.1. Measures of dissensus in consensus-era courts	17
Figure 1.2. Comparing the Stone Court to its predecessors: Measures of dissensus	19
Figure 1.3. Comparing the Stone Court to its successors: Measures of dissensus	19
Figure 1.4. Measures of dissensus on the Roosevelt Court, 1937–1946	20
Figure 1.5. Dissenting behavior of the Roosevelt Court justices, 1940–1946	21
Figure 2.1. Constrained court	67
Figure 2.2. Unconstrained court	67
Figure 3.1. Comparison of the Warren, Burger, and Rehnquist Courts: Percentage of unanimous and highly consensual decisions	97
Figure 4.1. Separate opinion writing behavior: Supreme Court, 1800–2004	116
Figure 4.2. Comparing the Warren, Burger, and Rehnquist Courts: Percentage of unanimous and highly consensual opinions	120
Figure 5.1. Subjective case characteristics: Unanimous versus nonunanimous cases	153