

TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables

- 1.1. Welfare index 0–10, by country 14
- 2.1. Question wordings for welfare support and perceived performance items 31
- 2.2. Results of multilevel analyses for support for government intervention in favor of the elderly ($N = 38,478$) and the unemployed ($N = 37,963$) 39
- 2.3. Results of multilevel analyses for perceived standard of living of the elderly ($N = 38,478$) and the unemployed ($N = 37,963$) 43
- 3.1. Perceived future risk by high-risk group (percentage) 68
- 3.2. Evaluation of country's social benefits and services by high-risk group (percentage) 69
- 3.3. Welfare attitudes toward the responsibilities of government by high-risk group, mean values 71
- 3.4. Path-analysis for high-risk groups in different welfare regimes 73
- 4.1. Model of lay conceptions of social order 87
- 4.2. Five measures of conceptions of social order, with descriptive statistics for overall sample 95
- 4.3. Multilevel analysis: Level 1 main effects of social positions and conceptions on welfare attitudes 100
- 4.4. Multilevel analysis: Cross-level interactions between conceptions and level 2 indicators on welfare attitudes 106
- 5.1. Support for the inclusion of immigrants: Country-specific response patterns (percentage of respondents within countries) 137

xii *Tables and Figures*

- 5.2. Determinants of welfare chauvinism (multinomial logistic multilevel models) 140
- 5.3. Macro-level determinants of supporting welfare chauvinism 143
- 5.4. Predicted mean probabilities to support welfare chauvinism 147
- 5.5. Predicted mean probabilities for a range of combinations with regard to key covariates 147
- 6.1. Class, age, gender, and attitudes toward income redistribution for Finland, 2008 171
- 6.2. Attitudes toward welfare policies in 26 European countries 172
- 6.3. Class and age differences in attitudes toward income redistribution for Denmark, Sweden, Poland, and Slovakia, 2002–2008 (standard deviations of coefficients (K)) 179
- 7.1. Experiments with target groups: Social benefits and services 204
- 7.2. Experiments with crisis/class frames: [Not] government's responsibility 206

Figures

- 1.1. A conceptual framework for analyzing attitudes toward welfare policies 21
- 2.1. Aggregate relationship between perceived standard of living (x -axis) and role of government (y -axis) for the elderly 35
- 2.2. Aggregate relationship between perceived standard of living (x -axis) and role of government (y -axis) for the unemployed 37
- 2.3. Country-specific effects of perceived standard of living of pensioners on welfare support in favor of the elderly, with 95% confidence intervals 47
- 2.4. Country-specific effects of perceived standard of living of the unemployed on welfare support in favor of the unemployed, with 95% confidence intervals 48
- 3.1. Conceptual model of the study 60
- 3.2. The studied paths in different welfare regimes compared to the rest of the population 72
- 4.1. Mediation model of welfare attitudes 93

- 4.2. Normative beliefs mediate the link between social position and welfare attitudes 101
- 4.3. Predicting government responsibility as a function of conceptions of social order across five welfare regimes 104
- 4.4. Cross-level interactions on government responsibility 107
- 6.1. Attitudes toward income redistribution policies 171
- 6.2. Income inequality and attitudes toward income redistribution policies 177
- 6.3. Old-age dependency ratio and attitudes toward labor market policies 178
- 7.1. Negative perceptions of *strain*, *laziness*, and *poverty* 208
- 7.2. Negative perceptions of *migration* and *costs* 209
- 7.3. Not government's responsibility: *Jobs*, *health care*, and *the old* 210
- 7.4. Not government's responsibility: *Unemployed*, *child care*, and *family leave* 211

For additional data, please see the Web Appendix tables and figures by following the link in the listing for Contested Welfare States on our website: <http://www.sup.org>.