

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figures

4.1	Comparing causal processes	79
5.1	Administrative map of Peru	84
6.1	Participation's virtuous cycle	142

Tables

1.1	Case selection criteria	24
2.1	Data on participating agents	47
2.2	Comparing key characteristics of participatory institutions	48
4.1	Number of CCR meetings (2003–2006)	73
4.2	Percent of budget by level of government (2000–2007)	77
4.3	Revisiting the comparison of Peru's participatory institutions	78
5.1	CSO registration list: Lambayeque	89
5.2	CSO registration list: Moquegua	95
5.3	CSO registration and election lists: Cajamarca 2003	100
5.4	CSO registration and election lists: Cajamarca 2005	101
5.5	CSO registration list: Ayacucho	107
5.6	CSO registration list: Cusco	112
5.7	CSO registration list: Loreto	118

5.8	Categorizing regions	120
5.9	Summarizing socio-demographic characteristics and PI experiences	123
6.1	Annual regional investment budgets (in millions of Peruvian soles)	125
6.2	Regional per capita investment spending (in Peruvian soles, based on 2005 census)	126
6.3	Percentage of investment budget executed (2004–2006)	127
6.4	Regional government political party affiliation (2003–2006)	128
6.5	Level of regional president support for PIs	130
6.6	Motivations behind levels of support	131
6.7	Nonelected regional officials' support for PIs	134
6.8	Historical organizational factors (1980–2001)	135
6.9	Post-reform levels of organization in the regional civil society sector	137
6.10	Post-reform levels of collaboration in the regional civil society sector	138
6.11	Summary of findings	139
6.12	Summary of variables	140