

Preface and Acknowledgments

When 19 suicide bombers hijacked heavily fueled airliners and flew into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on the clear autumn of September 11, 2001, they ushered in a new era—that of global terrorism. Much has happened since that fateful day. There is a general recognition that the “global war on terror” that American President George W. Bush declared in response to the atrocities should now be seen as a long-term struggle to rid the world of this new curse. In the five-year interval since the September 11 attacks, the United States and its allies have twice employed war as an instrument to destroy modern global terrorism. The Iraq War proved at best ineffective and at worst counterproductive for this purpose, though the Afghanistan War managed to reduce significantly al Qaeda’s capacity to function as the chief organizer of global terrorism. In light of such evidence it is reasonable to conclude that war is not the most effective or suitable instrument to counter global terrorism, particularly in the long-term.

To win the global war on terror the world and the United States in particular need to look elsewhere. They have to rely on good and timely intelligence and on winning hearts and minds generally. Good intelligence indeed be essential if fresh terrorist attacks are to be preempted and the terrorist organizations—not just al Qaeda but its offshoots and imitators—are to be destroyed on a long-term basis.

Winning the hearts and minds in this context will require considerable effort committed over a long time. The capacity of al Qaeda to take advantage of extremist and fanatical interpretations of certain elements of the Qur’an is predicated on the existence of serious mistrust and misgiving of the Islamic community in the world has toward the West and the United States. Whatever motivation al Qaeda as an organization had in launching

of “clash of civilizations” paradigm. Should democracies respond to the so-called “jihad” by waging a “holy war” against al Qaeda and its imitators, they will fall straight into the trap al Qaeda laid for them.

The only way that the Western and the democratic world can effectively meet this new challenge is to prove that the modern and democratic way of life does not pit the West or the democracies against people of other faiths or, for that matter, any other faith. Tolerance, respect for rights and freedoms, and as well as scope for development of the individual are the hallmarks of modern liberal democracy, even though this modern liberal democratic way of life began in Christendom. If this message can reach the potential converts and supporters of al Qaeda, it may eventually persuade them to reject the appeal of al Qaeda and its imitators. But to do so successfully, democracies must live up to the high standards of their own liberal rhetoric—uphold the ideas of democracy, liberty, and human rights universally rather than selectively and at a time when tightening up on security is necessary. The point is for democracies to respect the human rights of persons of other faiths in the same way as they do that of their own citizens.

Trying to find a balance between the need to preempt terrorist attacks of the type staged by al Qaeda and protecting the individual rights of everyone, including terrorist suspects, is a highly delicate matter. To find a way forward that will enable intelligence services to strengthen their capabilities to deal with the sectarian-based terrorist threats on the one hand and to persuade the Western world to eliminate the appeal of al Qaeda and its imitators on the other requires a wide range of expertise and knowledge. To address all the many intricate issues involved, one would need a real understanding of how intelligence services work, how they can be changed to adapt to the new demands more effectively, how they can be kept under effective supervision, and how this can be achieved without undermining the rule of law and human rights. The range of specialist knowledge required goes far beyond what one scholar or policy maker or experienced intelligence officer can provide.

It was out of this recognition that I have gathered a team of specialists, some of whom either have had first-hand experience or have long studied the issues from different dimensions of relevant issues to work together to produce this book. I was able to put together such a team because of the support of the Pluscarden Program for the Study of Global Terrorism and Intelligence at St Antony’s College, Oxford University.

The Program was inaugurated in January 2005, and it granted me the special privilege to use its first international conference as a major vehicle to produce this book. This conference, which took place at St Antony’s College, Oxford, in December 2005 also received generous support from

lectual discourse that started intensively at the workshop. It is not re collection of conference papers, excellent as they are, since about a th the presentations at the workshop are not included in this volume. In three chapters in this book were commissioned after the worksh address some vitally important issues that came up in the conferen not adequately addressed there. Nevertheless, contributors who present at the workshop took advantage of the brainstorming to refl their insights before they revised and, in a number of cases, rewrote papers to produce a coherent and cohesive collaborative book.

As the editor I am most grateful to my colleagues for their good h cooperative spirit, and forbearance when they were asked to meet th tight deadline while fulfilling their many obligations in academic or professional work as well as other demands on their time in private am not listing their names here as you already know who they are. W their understanding and cooperation it would have taken much long this work to be ready for publication.

In organizing the NATO workshop at Oxford, I am deeply indebt only to those presenters of papers who are now contributors to this v but also to all the friends and colleagues who gave presentations, ser discussants, chaired sessions, and more generally shared their insig two days of intensive intellectual discourse and debate. In this connect am grateful to Yaacov Amidror, Sokol Axhemi, Ihsan Bal, Sir Roger B ter, Hans-Josef Beth, William Birtles, Liess Boukra, Andrew Boyd, F Carter, Nigel Churton, Thomas Crompton, Michael Cronin, Antonia Angela Gendron, Steve Gibson, Roy Giles, Linda Goldthorp, M Goodman, Sir Jeremy Greenstock, Sandy Hardie, Nicole Jackson, Jay, Michael Kaser, Janis Kazocins, Jane Knight, Daniel Lafayeedney Lane, Saideh Lotfian, Fiona MacLeod, Sir Colin McColl, Kaveh Mou Henry Plater-Zyberk, Chris Parry, Fernando Reinares, Harold Shul Mohammed Shaker, Richard Skaife, Brian Stewart, Helen Szan Aigerim Toktomatova, Michael Willis, and Sappho Xenakis. I wou like to thank Hassan Aourid, my original co-director for the NATO shop, for his input and cooperation, though an unexpected call of d his King in Morocco meant he could not himself take part in the work Laura James and Mary Sharpe deserve a special note of gratitude a both gave sterling help and advice in organizing the event at different s Without Mary's input this event would not have taken the form of a N Advanced Research Workshop. Without Laura the logistics and org tion would not have been as smooth and uneventful despite the ne make considerable last-minute changes. In addition, through their wo the Advisory Committee of the Pluscarden Programme, David Joh

the staff of St Antony's College provided, as usual, excellent administrative assistance and practical support.

Steve
Sumner