

Figures and Tables

Figures

2.1	Framework for student learning outcomes	13
3.1	Sampling of questions on the Pennsylvania Senior Examination	25
3.2	Relationship between mean SAT/ACT scores and CLA scores	36
3.3	Collegiate Learning Assessment performance task	38
4.1	Collegiate Learning Assessment structure	48
4.2	CLA in-basket items from the “Crime” performance task	51
4.3	Faculty perceptions of the CLA’s performance tasks	59
4.4	Relationship between academic domain and performance task type	63
6.1	Accountable to whom?	115
7.1	Standard organizational production model	126
8.1	State-by-state report card	137
8.2	National Center for Public Policy and Higher Education’s “Learning Model”	139
8.3	State profiles of performance on learning assessment measures	140
8.4	State-by-state performance by white and nonwhite students on the Collegiate Learning Assessment	141

8.5	Value-added performance on Collegiate Learning Assessment	151
10.1	Learning outcomes	187

Tables

3.1	Summary of Tests and Testing Programs by Era	22
3.2	Characteristics of the Collegiate Learning Assessment	35
3.3	Critique an Argument	37
4.1	Criterion Sampling Approach and the Collegiate Learning Assessment	49
4.2	Scoring Criteria for Performance Tasks	52
4.3	Criteria for Scoring Responses to Analytic Writing Prompts	53
4.4	Students' Mean (Standard Deviation) Perceptions of CLA Performance Tasks	60
5.1	Cross-Campus Comparison on Dimensions of Development, Philosophy, Operation, and Impact	81
6.1	Competing "Cultural" Views of Accountability	113
8.1	Most Frequent Indicators in State Higher-Education Performance Reports by Type	143
8.2	Early State Learning Assessment Programs	145
8.3	Direct Measures of Learning Found in State Report Cards	149
8.4	State Higher-Education Report Cards Database: Information Collected	159
8.5	State Higher-Education Report Cards	160